

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

MT. VERNON FIRE INSUR. CO.)	
Plaintiff)	
)	No. 3:16-0689
vs.)	
)	JUDGE CRENSHAW
LIEM CONSTRUCTION, INC., et al)	MAGISTRATE KNOWLES
Defendant)	
)	
)	

DEFENDANT ASHLER OAKS' MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS

Defendant Ashler Oaks, LLC d/b/a Roundtree Apartments ("Ashler Oaks") moves this Court for an Order staying all proceedings in this cause, pending the trial of the underlying state lawsuit in Davidson County Chancery Court styled: Ashler Oaks et al v. R.F. Jones et al, Davidson County Chancery Court, Case No. 15-215-II, (the "Underlying Lawsuit")(attached to Plaintiff's Complaint as Exhibit E)[Dkt. No. 1-5].

Pursuant to the Sixth Circuit decision in Grand Trunk W.R. Co. v. Consol. Rail Corp., 746 F.2d 323, 326 (6th Cir. 1984), this Court's exercise of its declaratory authority will not settle the controversy between all parties, will not serve a useful purpose in clarifying the legal relations at issue, is being used by Plaintiff for the purpose of procedural fencing, and has increased friction between state and federal courts. *See Id.* at 326.

Under circumstances analogous to the case at bar, this Court has held that a stay of the federal proceedings pending resolution of the Underlying Lawsuit is proper.

No demand for payment has been upon the Plaintiff in the Underlying Lawsuit. No finding of liability has been entered, and there is no way to determine if any demand will ever be made upon Plaintiff at this time. Accordingly, Plaintiff's claim that it has no duty to defend and indemnify Liem Construction, Inc. is premature.

The record is still being developed in the Underlying Lawsuit, which was filed prior to this action. There remains unresolved issues of fact and Tennessee law. The Underlying Lawsuit's factual issues are critical to an informed resolution of the case, and the state court is in a better position to evaluate those factual issues.

At the direction of Plaintiff, the Defendant Liem Construction, Inc. has filed a motion to stay discovery in the Underlying Lawsuit, pending resolution of Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. [Dkt. No. 36]. The Plaintiff has attempted to stop development of the record and disposition of the Underlying Lawsuit. If granted, the progression of the Underlying Lawsuit would come to a halt, which is contrary to the law of the Sixth Circuit.

For the foregoing reasons, as started in more detail in the Memorandum of Law filed contemporaneously herewith, Defendant Ashler Oaks moves this Court for an Order staying this proceeding pending the trial of the Underlying Litigation.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Bryant Kroll
W. Gary Blackburn #3484
Bryant Kroll #33394
THE BLACKBURN FIRM, PLLC
213 Fifth Avenue North, Suite 300
Nashville, TN 37219
Telephone: (615) 254-7770
Facsimile: (866) 895-7272
gblackburn@wgaryblackburn.com
bkroll@wgaryblackburn.com

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Michael G. Derrick #14820
Christopher Crain #19900
SHUTTLEWORTH WILLIAMS, PLLC
22 North Front Street, Suite 850
Memphis, Tennessee 38103
Phone: (901) 526-7399
mderrick@shuttleworthwilliams.com
ccrain@shuttleworthwilliams.com

/s/ Bryant Kroll_____